1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 11 12 AMEL DALLUGE, Case No. 09-5623BHS/JRC 13 Plaintiff, 14 v. ORDER RENOTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A RESTRAINING ORDER 15 AND DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO ALVA MOSELEY, et al., **RESPOND** 16 Defendants. 17 18 This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Civil Rights action has been referred to the undersigned 19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and 636(b)(1)(B) and Local 20 21 Magistrate Judges' Rules MJR 1, MJR 3, and MJR 4. 22 Plaintiff asks the court to enter an order preventing Defendant Moseley from "retaliating" 23 against plaintiff for filing this action (Dkt. # 20). He claims he has been unfairly demoted to 24 maximum custody. A prior motion for injunctive relief was removed from the court's calendar 25 and plaintiff was instructed to re-note his motion after he had filed an amended complaint that 26 addressed whether he had exhausted his administrative remedies (Dkt. # 17). Plaintiff has now

ORDER - 1

filed an amended complaint alleging that he has exhausted his administrative remedies. (Dkt. 23).

Therefore, on its own motion, the court re-notes plaintiff's latest motion regarding retaliation (Dkt. # 20) for **March 5, 2010**, in order to give defendants an opportunity to respond.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7 (b) (2), if the responding party fails to file papers in opposition to a motion that this may be deemed as an admission that the motion has merit.

The clerk is directed to send plaintiff a copy of this order, re-note Dkt. # 20 for **March 5**, **2010**.

DATED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2010.

J. Richard Creatura

United States Magistrate Judge